

1
2
3
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
5 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

6 * * *

7 JOSEPH VALDEZ, individually and)
8 on behalf of all others similarly situated,)

9 Plaintiffs,)

10 vs.)

11 COX COMMUNICATIONS LAS)
12 VEGAS, INC., VIDEO INTERNET)
13 PHONE INSTALLS, INC., QUALITY)
14 COMMUNICATIONS, INC., SIERRA)
15 COMMUNICATIONS, CO.,)

16 Defendants.)

2:09-CV-01797-PMP-RJJ

ORDER

16 Before the Court for consideration is Plaintiff Valdez' Motion to Reargue
17 This Court's Order of September 13, 2010 (Docket #133) Dismissing Claims
18 Against Defendant Cox Communications Under N.R.S. § 608.150 (Doc. #194) filed
19 January 25, 2011.

20 The Court finds that Plaintiff has not presented a compelling reason why
21 the Court should reconsider its prior Order (Doc. #133), nor has Plaintiff presented
22 new facts or law of a strongly convincing nature that would support reversing this
23 Court's Order of September 13, 2010.


24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Plaintiff Valdez' Motion to
2 Reargue This Court's Order of September 13, 2010 (Docket #133) Dismissing
3 Claims Against Defendant Cox Communications Under N.R.S. § 608.150 (Doc.
4 #194) is **DENIED**.

5
6 DATED: June 20, 2011.

7 

8
9

PHILIP M. PRO
United States District Judge